PROteolysis TArgeting Chimera (PROTAC) Targeted Intracellular Protein Degradation



Literature presentation Junyong Kim May 23rd, 2019

Contemporary Drug Discovery

Small molecule inhibition has been a sccessful approach for drug discovery



Druggable vs. Undruggable



Biological approach is needed for undruggable targets



Selective Estrogen Receptor Downregulator (SERD)





Selective Estrogen Receptor Downregulator (SERD)





misfolded protein

Hydrophobic Tagging (HyT)





Hydrophobic Tagging (HyT)



HyT suppresses HaloTag-HRas1 driven tumor by degradation

Successful demontration of hydrophobic tagging leads to protein degradation

Covalent tagging method requires high dose

Protein degradation with alternative mechanism would have higher therapeutic potential

Proteolysis Targeting Chimera (PROTAC)



Proteolysis Targeting Chimera (PROTAC)



Proof of Concept



MetAP2 covalent inhibitor



Covalently bound ovalicin to MetAP2 (PDB:1B59)

Proof of Concept



Sakamoto, K.M.; Kim, K.B.; Kumagai, A.; Mercurio, F.; Crews, C.M.; Deshaies, R.J. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2001, 98, 8554–8559.

Proof of Concept



Sakamoto, K.M.; Kim, K.B.; Kumagai, A.; Mercurio, F.; Crews, C.M.; Deshaies, R.J. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2001, 98, 8554–8559.

Would it target therapeutically relevent targets?

Would it operate with noncovalent ligands?

Would it operate inside cells?

Intracellular Validation of PROTAC



Androgen receptor (AR) ligand



>70% cells showed partial/complete loss of fluorescence

Intracellular Validation of PROTAC





Dihydrotestosterone Androgen receptor (AR) ligand

PROTAC + E3 ligase ligand



Cells expressing GFP-AR

PROTAC + testosterone



Intracellular Validation of PROTAC



Dihydrotestosterone Androgen receptor (AR) ligand

PROTAC + proteasome inhibitor



Sakamoto, K.M.; Kim, K.B.; Verma, R.; Ransick, A.; Stein, B.; Crews, C.M.; Deshaies, R.J. Mol. Cell Proteomics 2003, 2, 1350–1358.

Cells expressing GFP-AR

Small Molecule PROTAC





Small Molecule Drugs vs. PROTAC



Catalytic mode of action can provide high potency and selectivity

Small Molecule Drugs vs. PROTAC





RIPK2 ligand ($IC_{50} = 660 \text{ nM}$)



Only RIPK2 and MAPKAPK3 were degraded among 7640 proteins

Small Molecule Drugs vs. PROTAC



Catalytic mode of action can provide high potency and selectivity

Only affinity probes are required – no need to be inhibitors

Removal of a protein instead of inhibition can provide additional therapeutic effect

Potential Application of PROTAC in Neurodegenerative Diseases



Accumulation of misfolded proteins (tau, β -amyloid) is suspected to cause neurodegenerative diseases

Could misfolded proteins be removed via PROTAC?

Potential Application of PROTAC in Neurodegenerative Diseases



Direct injection of tau-directed PROTAC reduced tau levels by 50%



Efficient ternary structure formation and ubiquitin transfer



Hook effect – increasing the concentration of bisligand can decrease ternary complex concentration



Matching the right E3 ligase/ligand – target protein/ligand combination is crucial for efficient degradation



VEGFR2 inhibitor (IC₅₀ = 40 nM)

What linker structure facilitate ternary complex formation and ubiquitination?





VEGFR2 inhibitor (IC₅₀ = 40 nM)

What linker structure facilitate ternary complex formation and ubiquitination?





Efficient ternary structure formation and ubiquitin transfer

Rate of degradation should be faster than rate of synthesis





Efficient ternary structure formation and ubiquitin transfer

Rate of degradation should be faster than rate of synthesis

A series of processes must be orchestrated for efficient protein degradation