Recent Developments in Iron-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling



Artwork by von Wangelin design (Rostock, Germany)

Jack Terrett MacMillan Group Meeting March 15th, 2016 One of the most fundamental class of reactions in organic synthesis for C–C bond formation





2010 Nobel Prize awarded in this area: Heck, Negishi, and Suzuki



Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling

■ One of the most fundamental reactions in organic synthesis for C–C bond formation

diverse nucleophile handles

transition metal catalysts

Mg = Kumada

Zn = Negishi

B = Suzuki-Miyaura

Sn = Stille

Si = Hiyama



What about iron catalysis?



Compared to palladium and nickel, iron has many beneficial characteristics

Iron is second most abundant metal in the Earth's crust



4.7 wt% vs. 1x10⁻⁶ wt% for Pd

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abundance_of_the_chemical_elements

Renner, H.; Schmuckler, G. Metals and their compounds in the environment., ed. E Merian, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1991.

Why Iron Catalysis?

Compared to palladium and nickel, iron has many beneficial characteristics

Iron is far more inexpensive than palladium



http://www.icmj.com/current-metal-prices.php

Enthaler, S.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3317.

Why Iron Catalysis?

Compared to palladium and nickel, iron has many beneficial characteristics

Iron is relatively non-toxic compared to palladium and nickel



Iron is present in a large number of biological systems, notably metalloproteins

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hemoglobin

http://scottlab.info/illustration/human-cytochrome-p450-2a13/

Why Iron Catalysis?

Compared to palladium and nickel, iron has many beneficial characteristics

1) Abundance: Iron is second most abundant metal in the Earth's crust

2) Cost: Iron is far more inexpensive than palladium

3) Toxicity: Iron is relatively non-toxic compared to palladium and nickel

4) Reactivity!

Can we discover new reactivity that is unique to iron catalysis?





First report of iron-mediated cross-coupling came from Kharasch and Fields in 1941



■ Reactivity is observed in the presence of CoCl₂, FeCl₃, MnCl₂, and NiCl₂

Kharasch proposes that the metallic halide gets reduced to a lower oxidation state by the Grignard reagent

It was another 30 years until the field really got started.

Kharasch, M. S.; Fields, E. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1941, 63, 2316.

Kochi reported the coupling of alkenyl halides with Grignard reagents in 1971



(trans reacts ~15 times faster than cis)

coupling of alkenyl halides occurs stereospecifically

Tamura, M.; Kochi, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1971**, *93*, 1487. Tamura, M.; Kochi, J. *Synthesis* **1971**, *1971*, 303.

Kochi proposed an Fe(I)/(III) mechanistic cycle



active Fe(I) formed by reduction of Fe(III) precatalyst by Grignard reagent

Smith, R. S.; Kochi, J. K. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 502.

Following Kochi's report, Fe-catalyzed couplings with alkenyl electrophiles developed rapidly



Cahiez, Synthesis 1998, 1192.

Shi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 14656.

Fürstner reported first coupling of aryl electrophiles with Grignard reagents in 2002



	ArH	Product	Х
	46%	27%	Ι
arene reduction possibly due to a radical decomposition pathway	50%	38%	Br
	0%	>95%	CI
effectiveness of Ar–Cl is suggestive of Fe(–II) active catalyst for oxidative addition	0%	>95%	OTf
	0%	>95%	OTs

Fürstner, A.; Leitner, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 609.

Fürstner, A.; Leitner, A.; Mendez, M.; Krause, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13856.

Fürstner proposed a Fe(–II)/(0) mechanistic cycle

[Fe(MgX)₂] active catalyst is prepared in situ from FeCl₂ and 4 equiv. RMgBr



Fürstner, A.; Leitner, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 609. Fürstner, A.; Leitner, A.; Mendez, M.; Krause, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13856.

Fürstner proposed a Fe(–II)/(0) mechanistic cycle



Fürstner, A.; Leitner, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 609. Fürstner, A.; Leitner, A.; Mendez, M.; Krause, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13856.

Cross-coupling shuts down in when MeMgBr is employed



supports proposed active Fe(–II) catalyst as β -hydride elimination is required

in the presence of Fe(0), no reactivity is observed - restored upon addition of excess Grignard

Fürstner, A.; Leitner, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 609.

Fürstner, A.; Leitner, A.; Mendez, M.; Krause, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13856.

Fürstner, A.; Martin, R.; Krause, H.; Seidel, G.; Goddard, R.; Lehmann, C. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8773.

In 2004, Nakamura, Hayashi, and Fürstner report coupling of alkyl halides with aryl Grignards



Table 1. Effect of Additives on the Product Selectivity and Yield

		% yield ^a				
entry	additive	2	3	4	1	Ph-Ph
1	none	5	79	0	4	6
2	Et ₃ N	3	78	0	11	5
3	N-methyl morpholine	8	72	0	4	5
4	DABCO	20	2	0	75	3
5	NMP	15	3	trace	79	4
6	TMEDA	71	19	3	trace	10



Nakamura, M.; Matsuo, K.; Ito, S.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3686.

Nagano, T.; Hayashi, T. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1297.

Fürstner, A.; Martin, R. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3955.

Nakamura and Fürstner propose a radical-based mechanism



Nakamura, M.; Matsuo, K.; Ito, S.; Nakamura, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 3686. Fürstner, A.; Martin, R. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 3955. Iron-Catalyzed Kumada Cross-Coupling

■ Chai demonstrated the first *sp³*–*sp³* coupling using Fe catalysis

 \blacksquare One of the greatest challenges is overcoming competing homocoupling and β -hydride elimination



use of Xantphos (2) reduced byproduct formation

Dongol, K. G.; Koh, H.; Sau, M.; Chai, C. L. L. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 1015.

Iron-Catalyzed Kumada Cross-Coupling

■ Chai demonstrated the first *sp³*–*sp³* coupling using Fe catalysis

■ A radical mechanism is proposed for this sp^3-sp^3 Kumada coupling



results suggest alkyl radicals are formed from the corresponding alkyl halides

Dongol, K. G.; Koh, H.; Sau, M.; Chai, C. L. L. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 1015.

Von Wangelin accomplished an iron-catalyzed cross electrophile coupling

Domino iron catalysis: iron-catalyzed Grignard formation followed by cross-coupling



Figure 1. TMEDA dependence of the model system p-tolyl bromide (1 a) and n-dodecyl bromide (2 b). ArH = toluene.

increased TMEDA = slower formation of Grignard reagent

Czaplik, W. M.; Mayer, M.; von Wangelin, A. J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 607.

Von Wangelin accomplished an iron-catalyzed cross electrophile coupling

- The intermediacy of both Grignard species (aryl and alkyl) is proposed
- Formation of both Grignard species is accelerated in the presence of FeCl₃



Figure 2. Iron-catalyzed Grignard formation from 1-bromonaphthalene (1 f) and cyclohexyl bromide (2 a).

Von Wangelin accomplished an iron-catalyzed cross electrophile coupling

- The intermediacy of both Grignard species (aryl and alkyl) is proposed
- Formation of both Grignard species is accelerated in the presence of FeCl₃
- Bogdanovic has shown that [Fe(MgX)₂] catalyzes formation of Grignards from aryl halides and Mg



Bogdanovic, B.; Schwickardi, M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 4610. Czaplik, W. M.; Mayer, M.; von Wangelin, A. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 607.

Von Wangelin accomplished an iron-catalyzed cross electrophile coupling

- The intermediacy of both Grignard species (aryl and alkyl) is proposed
- Formation of Grignard reagent appears to be rate-determining step



Figure 3. Concentration-time plots for the model reaction of 4-*tert*-butylbromobenzene (1 c) and dodecyl bromide (2 b) with intermediate 2b-Mg.



Nakamura extended iron-catalyzed cross coupling to organozincs for milder protocol

■ Diorganozinc nucleophile was effective, but still required a magnesium salt



organozinc is prepared in situ from aryl Grignard and ZnCl₂

avoids the need for slow addition of Grignard reagent due to slower transmetallation of zinc to iron



use of TMSCH₂ non-transferable ligand improves substrate economy

Nakamura, M.; Ito, S.; Matsuo, K.; Nakamura, E. Synlett 2005, 11, 1794.

Nakamura extended iron-catalyzed cross coupling to organozincs for milder protocol

Diorganozinc nucleophile was effective, but still required a magnesium salt



■ Radical-based mechanisms are also proposed in this Negishi coupling



Nakamura, M.; Ito, S.; Matsuo, K.; Nakamura, E. Synlett 2005, 11, 1794.

Bedford demonstrated iron-phosphine complexes as suitable Negishi catalysts

Benzyl halide and phosphate electrophiles couple with diarylzincs



Bedford proposed a cationic Fe(II) catalytic cycle with two possible pathways



In 2009, Nakamura reported a stereospecific vinylation of alkyl halides with alkenyl zincs



excess TMEDA was necessary to ensure coordination of Fe in presence of Zn and Mg

In 2009, Nakamura reported a stereospecific vinylation of alkyl halides with alkenyl zincs

Cross-coupling occurs with retention of olefin stereochemistry



Hatakeyama, T.; Nakagawa, N.; Nakamura, M. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4496.



"the iron-catalyzed Suzuki reaction... ...represents something of a 'holy grail' in coupling chemistry"

- R. B. Bedford and M. Nakamura, 2009

First Fe-catalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura coupling was reported by Young in 2008



high pressure is presumably assisting reduction of FeCl₃ down to low-valent active state

Guo, Y.; Young, D. J.; Hor, T. S. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 5620.

Two additional publications proposed Fe-catalyzed Suzuki couplings to make biaryls (at ambient pressure)

Kylmala, T.; Valkonen, A.; Rissanen, K.; Xu., Y.; Franzen, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 5692.

Bezier, D.; Darcel, C. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 1081.

both publications were later retracted due to irreproducibility issues

Joint study by Bedford and Nakamura determined trace Pd responsible for reactivity

- Biaryl coupling could not be reproduced with a range of iron catalysts
- Coupling was observed with ppb levels of Pd, therefore Pd contamination likely

"the iron-catalyzed Suzuki biaryl coupling reaction appears to be, for the moment at least, out of reach"

Bedford, R. B.; Nakamura, M.; Gower, N. J.; Haddow, M. F.; Hall, M. A.; Huwe, M.; Hashimoto, T.; Okopie, R. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 6110.

■ Buchwald and Bolm made similar observations in the Fe-catalyzed C–N coupling

Commercial Fe catalysts contained trace Cu, resulting in false activity



Buchwald, S. L.; Bolm, C. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5586.

Bedford employed a mixed Fe–Zn catalytic system to access organoboron nucleophiles



no incorporation of Zn aryl groups into product

diaryl zinc is likely consumed during reductive activation of Fe catalyst

Bedford, R. B.; Hall, M. A.; Hodges, G. R.; Huwe, M.; Wilkinson, M. C. Chem. Commun. 2009, 6430.

Bedford employed a mixed Fe–Zn catalytic system to access organoboron nucleophiles



Limited nucleophile scope:



91% yield

96% yield

trace yield

Mechanistic Considerations:

Zn co-catalyst likely plays a role in boron transmetallation with Fe center via arylzinc intermediate

Bedford proposes an Fe(I) oxidation state for the active catalyst

Bedford, R. B.; Hall, M. A.; Hodges, G. R.; Huwe, M.; Wilkinson, M. C. Chem. Commun. 2009, 6430.

3 mol% Fe cat.

additive

THF, 25 °C, 4 h

Nakamura reported Suzuki coupling with aryl boronates using novel diphosphine ligands

Li+



prepared in situ from boronate ester and R–Li



entry ^a	catalyst (3 mol %)	additive (mol %)	(%) ^b	(%) ^b
1	FeCl ₃	TMEDA (200)	0	>99
2	FeCl ₃	TMEDA $(200) + MgBr_2 (20)$	0	>99
3	FeCl ₂ (dppbz) ₂	none	0	>98
4	FeCl ₂ (dppbz) ₂	$MgBr_2$ (20)	14	83
5	complex 1	$MgBr_2$ (20)	93	0
6	complex 2	$MgBr_2$ (20)	91	0
7	complex 2	none	0	>99

hlain

bulky diphosphine ligand prevents formation of coordinatively saturated octahedral Fe complex

Hatakeyama, T.; Hashimoto, T.; Kondo, Y.; Fujiwara, Y.; Seike, H.; Takaya, H.; Tamada, Y.; Ono, T.; Nakamura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10674.

Nakamura reported Suzuki coupling with aryl boronates using novel diphosphine ligands



Hatakeyama, T.; Hashimoto, T.; Kondo, Y.; Fujiwara, Y.; Seike, H.; Takaya, H.; Tamada, Y.; Ono, T.; Nakamura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10674.





Hatakeyama, T.; Hashimoto, T.; Kondo, Y.; Fujiwara, Y.; Seike, H.; Takaya, H.; Tamada, Y.; Ono, T.; Nakamura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10674.

Nakamura extended this catalytic platform to vinylation



vinylation proceeds with retention of olefin stereochemistry

Hashimoto, T.; Hatakeyama, T.; Nakamura, M. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 1168.

■ Nakamura extended this catalytic platform to vinylation



Hashimoto, T.; Hatakeyama, T.; Nakamura, M. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 1168.

■ In 2012, Nakamura reported the first iron-catalyzed *sp³*–*sp³* Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling



**competing Me group transmetallation = 73% methylated product*

rate of alkyl group transfer in transmetallation: Me >1° alkyl > 2° alkyl

Hatakeyama, T.; Hashimoto, T.; Kathriarachchi, K. K. A. D. S.; Zenmyo, T.; Seike, H.; Nakamura, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8834.

To expand nucleophile scope, Nakamura prepared tetraalkylborates in situ via hydroboration



a radical mechanism is proposed

Hatakeyama, T.; Hashimoto, T.; Kathriarachchi, K. K. A. D. S.; Zenmyo, T.; Seike, H.; Nakamura, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8834.

Nakamura proposes radical-based mechanism



Hatakeyama, T.; Hashimoto, T.; Kathriarachchi, K. K. A. D. S.; Zenmyo, T.; Seike, H.; Nakamura, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8834.

Nakamura has also reported Fe-catalyzed Suzuki alkynylation





 $Fe_m(CO)_n$ generated in situ is active catalyst

Zhong, Y.; Han, W. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 3874.



What about asymmetric catalysis?



slow addition of Grignard and avoiding strongly coordinating solvents (DMPU, NMP) improved er

this helped to ensure the Fe catalyst was constantly ligated to the chiral ligand





ee of product directly proportional to ee of chiral ligand

enantioselectivity determined by chiral phosphine-iron complex



Radical probe experiment:



Jin, M.; Adak, L.; Nakamura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 7128.



1st order relationship between ratio of 5/6 and catalyst loading

alkyl radical intermediate escapes solvent cage, cyclizes, and then undergoes arylation with 2nd iron catalyst

■ Nakamura proposes a bimetallic out-of-cage mechanism



Jin, M.; Adak, L.; Nakamura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 7128.







Useful Reviews

Sherry, B. D.; Fürstner, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1500.
Czaplik, W. M.; Mayer, M.; Cvengros, J.; von Wangelin, A. J. ChemSusChem 2009, 2, 396.
Jana, R.; Pathak, T. P.; Sigman, M. S. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1417.
Bauer, I.; Knölker, H.-J.. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 3170.
Bedford, R. B. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 1485.
Cassani, C.; Bergonzini, G.; Wallentin, C.-J. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 1640.