Nuclear Structure and Isomerism

-

-

-

Ian Perry MacMillan Group Literature Talk April 29th, 2020 History of Atomic Structure



Thomson Model - 1904

"Plum pudding" – A positive volume filled with negatively charged electrons.

Used to rationalize the apparent negative charge of electrons with the neutrality of free atoms



Rutherford Model - 1911

An area of high positive charge density surrounded by electrons

The Geiger-Marsden Experiment (1909) suggested an area of especially high density within the atom, Rutherford then developed this Nuclear Model



Bohr Model - 1913

Electrons orbiting a nucleus in discrete, well-defined orbits

While more accurate than previous models, physicist couldn't rationalize why electron orbits wouldn't decay

History of Atomic Structure



The Quantum Mechanical Model

Discrete shells of electrons, with behavior defined by quantum numbers

In chemistry, we traditionally learn about the history of the model of the atom, but rarely cover the model of the nucleus! The Nuclear Model



changes in how we think about electron configuration, and thus chemical reactivity



Talk Outline

Brief Review of the Atomic Model

Brief Overview: The Standard Model of Particle Physics The Strong and Residual Strong Interactions

The Nuclear Shell Model

by analogy to the atomic shell model

Nuclear Isomers

case studies:

^{80m}Br

^{180m}Ta

^{99m}Tc

^{229m}Th

PHYSICS TEXTOOOK

David Criffiths

@WILEY-VCH

Introduction to Elementary Particles

Second, Revised Edition



Griffiths, D. Introduction to Elementary Particles, 2nd Ed.; Wiley, New York, 1987











Heavier, substantially less stable "cousins" of first generation matter. Only detected in cosmic rays or LHC collisions

Confusing to physicist as to why these exist





These "matter particles" or fermions are what the universe is made of, but do not explain how or why matter interacts the way it does



Elementary Particles

Particle physics still cannot accurately account for gravitational effects

Implications of the Standard Model



Implications of the Standard Model



The Strong Force and the Residual Strong Force



How does nature overcome this insane barrier? The Residual Nuclear Force



Can gluons exchange between quarks confined within different Baryons?

A (Very) Brief Intro to QCD



A (Very) Brief Intro to QCD



Three "colors" of strong force "charges;" each attracted to the other two by exchange of gluons

The force required to separate two quarks of a different color grows proportionally to the distance between them

Eventually, enough energy is put in to create a new quark pair, separate from the hadron



A (Very) Brief Intro to QCD



Three "colors" of strong force "charges;" each attracted to the other two by exchange of gluons

The force required to separate two quarks of a different color grows proportionally to the distance between them

Eventually, enough energy is put in to create a new quark pair, separate from the hadron



The Nuclear Shell Model by Analogy to the Atomic Shell Model

• A brief review of the atomic shell model:

Four quantum numbers define an electron in an atom

n – principle quantum number, the energy level of the electron. Integer value from 1 to infinity

l – angular momentum quantum number, ranges from 0 to n–1. 0 = s, 1 = p, 2 = d, 3 = f, etc.

 m_l – magnetic quantum number, ranges from -l to l.

 m_s – spin quantum number, ranges from –s to s (±1/2)

for <i>n</i> = 1:	for <i>n</i> = 2:	for <i>n</i> = 3:
l = 0	l = 0 or +1	l = 0, +1, +2
$m_l = 0$ $m_s = \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } -\frac{1}{2}$	$m_l = -1, 0, \text{ or } +1 \text{ (for m} \neq 0)$ $m_s = \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } -\frac{1}{2}$	$m_l = -2, -1, 0, +1, +2$ (for m=2) $m_s = \frac{1}{2}$ or $-\frac{1}{2}$
0	0	0 . 0 . 10
2	2+6	2 + 6 + 10
2 = He	10 = Ne	36 = Kr
	18 = Ar	

The Nuclear Shell Model by Analogy to the Atomic Shell Model



Application to the Nuclear Shell Model

Four quantum numbers define a Nucleon, with Neutrons and Protons treated independently

n - principle quantum number, the energy level of the electron. Integer value from 1 to infinity

l – angular momentum quantum number, **not restricted by** n

 m_l – magnetic quantum number, ranges from -l to l.

 m_s – spin quantum number, ranges from –s to s (±1/2)

This treatment affords filled shell numbers of 2, 8, 20, 28, 50, 82, 126

Magic nuclei: Nuclei with an atomic number or neutron number that is "magic" (a filled shell) (⁵⁸Ni) Doubly magic nuclei: Nuclei with both an atomic number and neutron number that is "magic" (⁴⁸Ni)





Magic numbers are calculated only for *spherical nuclei*

Magic numbers (or semi-magic numbers) can be empirically derived from these tables



Nuclear Excited States



Vibrational and Rotational Excitation in Deformed Nuclei (Collective Theory)





Nuclear Isomers

Nuclear excited states typically decay within 10⁻¹² s

Nuclear excited states that are longer lived than 1 ns (10⁻⁹ s) are considered nuclear isomers

Metastable isomers are traditionally nuclear reaction products that are formed in an excited state (e.g. via the conversion of a neutron to a proton in an unusual spin state)



protactinium was discovered as it's metastable state! ($T_{1/2} = 1.17$ minutes)

Metastable Nuclear Isomers

Typical decay chain: A case study



Isomeric transition: Decay of nuclear isomer/excited state to a lower energy state

gamma emission

analogous to electronic excited state decay via photon emission

internal conversion

an electron couples to the excited nucleus, and relaxation of the nucleus ejects the electron (note: this is different from β decay) Notable Nuclear Isomers: ^{80m}Br

1934: Kurchatov and coworkers publish on the neutron bombardment of a commercial Br₂ sample.

Three distinct beta-emitting isotopes are detected



Notable Nuclear Isomers: ^{80m}Br

1934: Kurchatov and coworkers publish on the neutron bombardment of a commercial Br₂ sample.



Three distinct beta-emitting isotopes are detected

Gamma emission not detected as predicted by early theory papers on metastable isomers.

⁷⁹Br

Internal conversion mistaken for β decay

Notable Nuclear Isomers: 180m1 Ta

¹⁸⁰Ta is a spin = 1 nucleus with an odd number of both protons and neutrons (odd/odd nucleus)

 $T_{1/2} = 8.1$ h: electron capture to ¹⁸⁰Hf or β^- to ¹⁸⁰W

^{180m1}Ta: The rarest primordial nuclide in the universe - observationally stable



 $T_{1/2} > 10^{16}$ years

Each additional (forbidden) unit of angular momentum slows the relaxation process by 10⁵

Notable Nuclear Isomers: 180m1 Ta

¹⁸⁰Ta is a spin = 1 nucleus with an odd number of both protons and neutrons (odd/odd nucleus)

 $T_{1/2} = 8.1$ h: electron capture to ¹⁸⁰Hf or β - to ¹⁸⁰W

^{180m1}Ta: The rarest primordial nuclide in the universe - observationally stable



 $T_{1/2} > 10^{16}$ years

What imparts this exceptional stability against all decay pathways of ^{180m1}Ta?

Nuclear spin = 9! Any decay pathway is "exceptionally forbidden" Rate decrease of 10^{5^7}

Notable Nuclear Isomers: 99mTc



exceedingly rare as a naturally occuring element due to short half lives of all naturally occuring isotopes (<4.2 My)

all technetium used for radiochemical applications is produced synthetically

The metastable isomer ^{99m}Tc has extensive applications as a medical radioisotope



Askbar, M. U.; Ahmad, M. R.; Shaheen, A.; Mushtaq, S. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 2016, 310, 477 Hjelstuen, O. K. The Analyst 1995, 120, 863 Notable Nuclear Isomers: 99mTc



clean gamma emission only - easily detectable by gamma cameras no alpha or beta particles *in vivo*

Askbar, M. U.; Ahmad, M. R.; Shaheen, A.; Mushtaq, S. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 2016, 310, 477 Hjelstuen, O. K. The Analyst 1995, 120, 863 Notable Nuclear Isomers: 99mTc



Askbar, M. U.; Ahmad, M. R.; Shaheen, A.; Mushtaq, S. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 2016, 310, 477 Hjelstuen, O. K. The Analyst 1995, 120, 863

Notable Nuclear Isomers: 229mTh

LETTER

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1533-4

Energy of the ²²⁹Th nuclear clock transition

Benedict Seiferle¹*, Lars von der Wense¹, Pavlo V. Bilous², Ines Amersdorffer¹, Christoph Lemell³, Florian Libisch³, Simon Stellmer⁴, Thorsten Schumm⁵, Christoph E. Düllmann^{6,7,8}, Adriana Pálffy² & Peter G. Thirolf¹

LETTER

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1542-3

X-ray pumping of the ²²⁹Th nuclear clock isomer

Takahiko Masuda¹, Akihiro Yoshimi¹, Akira Fujieda¹, Hiroyuki Fujimoto², Hiromitsu Haba³, Hideaki Hara¹, Takahiro Hiraki¹, Hiroyuki Kaino¹, Yoshitaka Kasamatsu⁴, Shinji Kitao⁵, Kenji Konashi⁶, Yuki Miyamoto¹, Koichi Okai¹, Sho Okubo¹, Noboru Sasao¹*, Makoto Seto⁵, Thorsten Schumm⁷, Yudai Shigekawa⁴, Kenta Suzuki¹, Simon Stellmer^{7,10}, Kenji Tamasaku⁸, Satoshi Uetake¹, Makoto Watanabe⁶, Tsukasa Watanabe², Yuki Yasuda⁴, Atsushi Yamaguchi³, Yoshitaka Yoda⁹, Takuya Yokokita³, Motohiko Yoshimura¹ & Koji Yoshimura¹*

^{229m}Th - a low energy metastable isomer with applications for quantum computing and atomic clocks of unprecedented accuracy (nuclear transition vs. Cs electronic transition)

Seiferle, B. *et al. Nature* **2019,** 573, 243 Masuda, T. et *al. Nature* **2019**, *573*, 238 Notable Nuclear Isomers: 229mTh



Fig. 6. Partial level scheme of ²²⁹Th showing the members of the two lowest lying positive-parity bands and their associated γ -ray transitions. Although the K-value of the band indicated at the left is shown as questionable, the reasoning presented in the text strongly suggests that this band is in fact built on the $\frac{1}{2}^+$ [631] Nilsson state and that the $I^{\pi} = \frac{3}{2}^+$ band head is located quite near to the ground state

The lowest of these bands is "shown from indirect evidence" to be within 0.1 keV of the ground state

Notable Nuclear Isomers: ^{229m}Th



FIG. 1. Partial level scheme of ²²⁹Th, showing those γ -ray transitions whose energy values were used in determining the energy separation Δ of the $\frac{5}{2}$ [633] and $\frac{3}{2}$ [631] bandheads.

$\Delta_1 = -0.003 \pm 0.005 \text{ keV},$
$\Delta_2 = -0.001 \pm 0.006 \text{ keV}$,
$\Delta_3 = +0.002 \pm 0.008 \text{ keV}$.

3 separate indirect easurements afforded a band gap of:

The group concluded that the difference between the ground and excited isomeric state was <10 eV Instrumentation was nolonger accurate enough to determine which state was the ground state

Notable Nuclear Isomers: 229mTh

Subsequent studies throughout the early 2000's, enabled by improvements in gamma ray spectoscopy, determined the isomer to be 7.8 ± 0.5 eV above the ground state



Notable Nuclear Isomers: ^{229m}Th

Subsequent studies throughout the early 2000's, enabled by improvements in gamma ray spectoscopy, determined the isomer to be 7.8 ± 0.5 eV above the ground state



No direct observation of the decay had yet been observed

Beck, B. R. et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2007 98, 142501

Notable Nuclear Isomers: 229mTh

LETTER

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1533-4

Energy of the ²²⁹Th nuclear clock transition

Benedict Seiferle¹*, Lars von der Wense¹, Pavlo V. Bilous², Ines Amersdorffer¹, Christoph Lemell³, Florian Libisch³, Simon Stellmer⁴, Thorsten Schumm⁵, Christoph E. Düllmann^{6,7,8}, Adriana Pálffy² & Peter G. Thirolf¹



Accurate calculation of the metastable state: 149.7 \pm 3 nm

LETTER

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1542-3

X-ray pumping of the ²²⁹Th nuclear clock isomer

Takahiko Masuda¹, Akihiro Yoshimi¹, Akira Fujieda¹, Hiroyuki Fujimoto², Hiromitsu Haba³, Hideaki Hara¹, Takahiro Hiraki¹, Hiroyuki Kaino¹, Yoshitaka Kasamatsu⁴, Shinji Kitao⁵, Kenji Konashi⁶, Yuki Miyamoto¹, Koichi Okai¹, Sho Okubo¹, Noboru Sasao^{1*}, Makoto Seto⁵, Thorsten Schumm⁷, Yudai Shigekawa⁴, Kenta Suzuki¹, Simon Stellmer^{7,10}, Kenji Tamasaku⁸, Satoshi Uetake¹, Makoto Watanabe⁶, Tsukasa Watanabe², Yuki Yasuda⁴, Atsushi Yamaguchi³, Yoshitaka Yoda⁹, Takuya Yokokita³, Motohiko Yoshimura¹ & Koji Yoshimura^{1*}



LETTER

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1542-3

X-ray pumping of the ²²⁹Th nuclear clock isomer

Takahiko Masuda¹, Akihiro Yoshimi¹, Akira Fujieda¹, Hiroyuki Fujimoto², Hiromitsu Haba³, Hideaki Hara¹, Takahiro Hiraki¹, Hiroyuki Kaino¹, Yoshitaka Kasamatsu⁴, Shinji Kitao⁵, Kenji Konashi⁶, Yuki Miyamoto¹, Koichi Okai¹, Sho Okubo¹, Noboru Sasao¹*, Makoto Seto⁵, Thorsten Schumm⁷, Yudai Shigekawa⁴, Kenta Suzuki¹, Simon Stellmer^{7,10}, Kenji Tamasaku⁸, Satoshi Uetake¹, Makoto Watanabe⁶, Tsukasa Watanabe², Yuki Yasuda⁴, Atsushi Yamaguchi³, Yoshitaka Yoda⁹, Takuya Yokokita³, Motohiko Yoshimura¹ & Koji Yoshimura¹*



LETTER

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1542-3

X-ray pumping of the ²²⁹Th nuclear clock isomer

Takahiko Masuda¹, Akihiro Yoshimi¹, Akira Fujieda¹, Hiroyuki Fujimoto², Hiromitsu Haba³, Hideaki Hara¹, Takahiro Hiraki¹, Hiroyuki Kaino¹, Yoshitaka Kasamatsu⁴, Shinji Kitao⁵, Kenji Konashi⁶, Yuki Miyamoto¹, Koichi Okai¹, Sho Okubo¹, Noboru Sasao^{1*}, Makoto Seto⁵, Thorsten Schumm⁷, Yudai Shigekawa⁴, Kenta Suzuki¹, Simon Stellmer^{7,10}, Kenji Tamasaku⁸, Satoshi Uetake¹, Makoto Watanabe⁶, Tsukasa Watanabe², Yuki Yasuda⁴, Atsushi Yamaguchi³, Yoshitaka Yoda⁹, Takuya Yokokita³, Motohiko Yoshimura¹ & Koji Yoshimura^{1*}

