

# Activation of C–H Bonds via the Merger of Photoredox and Organocatalysis: A Coupling of Benzylic Ethers with Schiff Bases

Dominik Hager and David W. C. MacMillan\*


Merck Center for Catalysis at Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, United States

**S** Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** The photoredox-mediated coupling of benzylic ethers with Schiff bases has been accomplished. Direct benzylic C–H activation by a combination of a thiol catalyst with an iridium photocatalyst and subsequent radical–radical coupling with secondary aldimines affords a variety of  $\beta$ -amino ether products in good to excellent yields. Mechanistic studies suggest that a reductive quenching pathway of the photocatalyst is operable.

The direct and controlled C–H functionalization of  $sp^3$ -hybridized carbons has become a challenging goal in modern synthetic organic chemistry.<sup>1</sup> In this context, our laboratory has recently introduced a protocol that allows the activation and subsequent arylation of benzylic C–H bonds via the cooperative action of a photoredox catalyst and a thiol organocatalyst (eq 1).<sup>2</sup> This transformation relies on the coupling of two catalytically generated radicals: an arene radical anion formed by reduction of an aryl nitrile, and a benzyl ether radical generated by thiyl-mediated hydrogen atom abstraction from benzylic ethers. Moreover, we postulate that the thiol organocatalyst undergoes oxidative proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET)<sup>3</sup> in the presence of photoexcited catalysts to generate the requisite thiyl radical<sup>4</sup> that can selectively cleave the C–H bond of benzylic ethers.<sup>5</sup> This photoredox–organocatalysis C–H functionalization mechanism exploits several established physical properties (e.g., bond dissociation energies (BDEs),<sup>6</sup> hydrogen atom transfer exchange constants,<sup>7</sup> and oxidation potentials) that are predictable across a wide range of reaction classes. Herein we translate this general C–H functionalization concept to the direct coupling of benzyl ethers with secondary aldimines to afford  $\beta$ -amino ethers in one step (eq 2). This new radical–radical coupling protocol employs readily available substrates, a visible light source, and the combination of a thiol catalyst and photocatalyst.


The  $\beta$ -amino ether functionality is a widespread motif in synthetic organic chemistry. However, the synthesis of these structural units is often challenging and typically requires multiple steps, organometallic reagents, and immoderate reaction conditions.<sup>8</sup> With this in mind, we recently hypothesized that a one-step C–C bond coupling of benzylic ether radicals and an  $\alpha$ -amino radical would allow direct access to this important synthon using simple and mild reagents and catalysts. Seminal studies of Roberts in the 1990s demonstrated that electrophilic thiyl radicals are excellent systems to abstract  $H^\bullet$  from aldehydes to generate acyl radicals.<sup>9</sup> We recently demonstrated that similar open-shell thiyl species, generated



**Direct arylation of benzylic ethers with cyanoaromatics (Eq 1)**



**Merger of benzylic ethers with Schiff bases via photoredox (Eq 2)**




via proton-coupled electron transfer, are superior catalysts that generate  $\alpha$ -oxy radicals.<sup>2</sup> With this in mind, we questioned whether photoredox catalysis would allow the concurrent generation of  $\alpha$ -benzylic ether radical **1** (via oxidation) and  $\alpha$ -amino radical anion **2** (via reduction) prior to a critical hetero-coupling of these two highenergy species (eq 2). Importantly, this new multi-catalysis reaction mechanism would be organo-catalytic, redox-neutral, as well as atom-economical and should provide an unprecedented and non-classical C–C disconnection that will find utility in the fields of medicinal chemistry and organic molecule construction.

**Design Plan.** The proposed catalytic cycle of our new light-driven redox transformation is depicted in Scheme 1.<sup>10</sup> It has long been established that the photoredox catalyst  $Ir^{III}(ppy)_2(dtbbpy)PF_6$  (**3**) will be photoexcited to the  $^*Ir^{III}$

Received: October 6, 2014

Published: November 17, 2014

Scheme 1. Proposed Mechanism of the Heterocoupling Reaction



state 4 by visible light irradiation with a household light bulb or blue LEDs. Excited species 4 can function as an oxidant ( $E_{1/2}^{*III/II} = +0.66$  V vs SCE in MeCN)<sup>11</sup> and can be quenched by the thiol catalyst methyl thioglycolate (6) to produce  $\text{Ir}^{II}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})$  (5), as well as thiyl radical 7.

Although the oxidation potential of typical thiols ( $E_{1/2} = +0.85$  V vs SCE for cysteine)<sup>12</sup> should render this electron transfer unfavorable, we expect that the addition of a weakly basic additive ( $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$  or  $\text{LiOAc}$ ) would facilitate the formation of thiyl radical 7 via a concerted PCET event. In order to close the photoredox cycle,  $\text{Ir}^{II}$  species 5 ( $E_{1/2}^{III/II} = -1.51$  V vs SCE)<sup>11</sup> should be able to reduce the *N*-aryl imine 8 ( $E_{1/2}^{\text{red}} = -1.98$  V vs SCE for  $\text{R} = \text{Ar} = \text{Ph}$ )<sup>13</sup> under the reaction conditions via a single-electron transfer (SET) event to afford the  $\alpha$ -amino radical anion 9. With respect to BDEs, thiyl radical 7 should readily abstract a hydrogen atom from benzyl ether substrate 10 ( $\alpha\text{-C-H BDE} = 85.8$  kcal/mol for benzyl methyl ether)<sup>14</sup> in a hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) process to regenerate the thiol catalyst 6 ( $\text{S-H BDE} = 87.2$  kcal/mol)<sup>15</sup> and to complete the organocatalytic cycle. We assume the resulting benzylic radical 11 will rapidly combine with  $\alpha$ -amino radical anion 9 to afford the desired  $\beta$ -amino ether product. This critical C–C bond-forming step should be facilitated by the persistent radical effect, wherein species 9 is a long-lived open-shell species that does not readily homodimerize, thereby enabling a highly selective radical–radical heterocoupling event.<sup>16</sup> Although a radical–radical coupling is proposed we are aware that an addition of benzylic radical 11 into imine 8 followed by reduction of the *N*-centered radical is also feasible. However, we consider this pathway as unlikely since imine dimer and imine reduction byproducts have been observed in certain cases.

**Results.** The proposed ether–imine coupling was first evaluated with a series of established photoredox catalysts (Table 1). Initially we found that irradiation of benzyl methyl



Table 1. Initial Studies and Reaction Optimization

entry	photocatalyst	additive	light source	solvent	yield <sup>a</sup>
1	$\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_3$	$\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$ (10 mol%)	26 W CFL	DMA	0%
2	$\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3\text{Cl}_2$	$\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$ (10 mol%)	26 W CFL	DMA	0%
3	$\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})\text{PF}_6$	$\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$ (10 mol%)	26 W CFL	DMA	42%
4	$\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})\text{PF}_6$	$\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$ (10 mol%)	blue LEDs	DMA	75%
5	$\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})\text{PF}_6$	none	blue LEDs	DMA	55%
6	$\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})\text{PF}_6$	<i>n</i> -Bu <sub>4</sub> NOAc (10 mol%)	blue LEDs	DMA	22%
7	$\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})\text{PF}_6$	<i>n</i> -Bu <sub>4</sub> NOAc (0.2 mol%)	blue LEDs	DMA	83%
8	$\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})\text{PF}_6$	$\text{LiOAc}$ (0.2 mol%)	blue LEDs	DMA	83%
9	$\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})\text{PF}_6$	$\text{LiOAc}$ (10 mol%)	blue LEDs	DMA	83%
10	$\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})\text{PF}_6$	$\text{LiOAc}$ (10 mol%)	blue LEDs	MeCN	73%
11	$\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})\text{PF}_6$	$\text{LiOAc}$ (10 mol%)	blue LEDs	DMSO	70%
12	$\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})\text{PF}_6$	$\text{LiOAc}$ (10 mol%)	none	DMA	0%
13 <sup>b</sup>	$\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})\text{PF}_6$	$\text{LiOAc}$ (10 mol%)	blue LEDs	DMA	0%
14	none	$\text{LiOAc}$ (10 mol%)	blue LEDs	DMA	0%

<sup>a</sup>Yield determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis using 1-bromo-3,5-bis-(trifluoromethyl)benzene as the internal standard. <sup>b</sup>Reaction performed in the absence of methyl thioglycolate.



ether, imine 12, methyl thioglycolate (6), and  $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$  with visible light in the presence of  $\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_3$  or  $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3\text{Cl}_2$  resulted in no observable yield of the desired 1,2-amino ether product (entries 1 and 2). Fortunately, however, use of the heteroleptic catalyst  $\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})\text{PF}_6$  (3) provided the desired  $\beta$ -amino ether in 42% yield (entry 3). The efficiency of this heteroradical coupling was further improved by irradiating the photocatalyst 3 ( $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 460$  nm) with light adjusted to the catalyst's absorption maximum via the implementation of blue LEDs (entry 4, 75% yield). Next, we determined that the base additive had a significant influence on the reaction outcome. More specifically, while the lack of a basic additive resulted in a moderate yield (entry 5, 55% yield), we found that the use of the soluble *n*-Bu<sub>4</sub>NOAc base in trace quantities provided a significant improvement (entry 7, 0.2 mol%, 83% yield). Notably, the use of *n*-Bu<sub>4</sub>NOAc at the 10 mol% level resulted in diminished yields (entry 6, 22% yield). These results are consistent with the requirement of a soluble base that enables a concerted PCET mechanism for the formation of thiyl radical 7 (Scheme 1). We recognize that a stepwise pathway may also be operable, wherein deprotonation of the thiol catalyst could precede the oxidation of the resulting thiolate anion (mechanistic studies are ongoing to delineate between these two pathways). As an effective alternative to the hygroscopic *n*-Bu<sub>4</sub>NOAc, we have established  $\text{LiOAc}$  (entry 8) as a more operationally convenient additive at 10 mol% loading (entry 9).<sup>17</sup> In addition, we have found that DMA is the superior medium for this process while solvents such as MeCN or DMSO are also competent (entries 10 and 11, 73% and 70% yield). Finally, control experiments verified the requirement of light, an organocatalyst, and a photocatalyst, as no product was observed in the absence of these components (entries 12–14).

**Reaction Scope.** With the optimal coupling conditions in hand, we next sought to determine the scope of aldimines that can be employed in this new radical–radical heterocoupling protocol. As shown in Table 2, electronically “neutral” imines

Table 2. Scope of the Imine Coupling Partner<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Isolated yields; see Supporting Information for experimental details. Diastereomeric ratios (d.r.)  $\geq 1.4 : 1$ , determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis.


(entry 1, 77% yield), as well as electron-rich arenes, increase the overall coupling efficiency (entries 2–4, 79–85% yield). We rationalized that electron-rich  $\alpha$ -amino radicals are more nucleophilic and thereby more rapidly react with benzylic radical **11**, resulting in generally higher yields. In addition, bicyclic arenes, such as naphthalene (entry 5, 85% yield) the heteroaromatic quinoline (entry 6, 58% yield) are well tolerated. With respect to the aniline-derived moiety of the imine, we found that strongly electron-donating and -withdrawing groups have a deleterious effect on overall reaction yield (entries 7 and 8, 61% and 56% yield); yet, high efficiency is achieved when halogen

Table 3. Scope of the Ether Coupling Partner<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Isolated yields; see Supporting Information for experimental details. Diastereomeric ratios between 1:1 and 1.5:1, determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis. <sup>b</sup>Reaction performed with 10 equiv of aromatic ether.

atoms are introduced at the *para*-positions (entries 9 and 10, both 84% yield). Also, aldimines that incorporate a CF<sub>3</sub> group or pyridine-based heteroaromatics were found to be viable coupling partners for this redox process (entries 11–14, 58–75% yield).

Next we focused on expanding the range of benzylic ethers in this dual catalysis protocol (Table 3). To our delight we found that electron-rich ethers give the desired products in high yield (entry 1, 85% yield), along with *para*-, *meta*-, and *ortho*-substituted arenes (entries 1–4, 73–85% yield). With respect to the potential for broad application, it is important to recognize



**Figure 1.** Stern–Volmer quenching studies with butyl thioglycolate (**41**) and *n*-Bu<sub>4</sub>NOAc.

that a variety of protecting groups are well tolerated in this C–C bond forming process (entries 5–8, 70–82% yield). Moreover, cyclic ethers such as phthalane and isochromane are useful substrates, further demonstrating the versatility of this photoredox reaction (entries 9–11, 62–75% yield). Perhaps most notably, a wide range of heteroaromatic-containing ethers can be readily implemented using these reaction conditions (entries 12–14, 45–54% yield), an important consideration with respect to medicinal chemistry applications.

During the course of these studies, we rationalized that the rate of the reaction is likely dependent on the number of photons penetrating the reaction vessel and is therefore dependent on the illumination surface area. Thus, we investigated the progress of this transformation with respect to the size and nature of the reaction containers employed.<sup>17</sup> It was shown that the typical reaction with *N*-phenyl imine **12** and benzyl methyl ether was slowest (22 h) in a standard reaction vial (as used in Tables 1–3). Indeed, when the same transformation was conducted in an NMR tube, the reaction proceeded with a significantly faster reaction rate with complete product formation determined at 14 h. However, the fastest protocol we have achieved thus far for this  $\beta$ -amino ether formation (6 h to completion) has been accomplished by maximizing the surface area via the use of PFA tubing as a reaction vessel.<sup>18</sup> These results clearly demonstrate that (i) this transformation as currently performed is photon-limited with respect to the overall rate and (ii) it will likely be well suited to reaction scale-up via flow protocols.

Last, to provide further mechanistic insight into the pathway of this new photoredox coupling, we have conducted a series of Stern–Volmer quenching studies<sup>19</sup> with Ir(ppy)<sub>2</sub>(dtbbpy)PF<sub>6</sub> (**3**), benzaniline, butyl thioglycolate (**41**),<sup>20</sup> and *n*-Bu<sub>4</sub>NOAc as a soluble additive.<sup>21</sup> As shown in Figure 1, these investigations clearly demonstrate the thiol catalyst **41** can indeed quench the excited photocatalyst, but only in the presence of the acetate base (no quenching was observed by the thiol in the absence of acetate). In addition, benzaniline quenches the excited photocatalyst only to a minor extent,<sup>19</sup> suggesting that a reductive quenching is the predominant pathway.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### 📄 Supporting Information

Procedures and spectral data are provided. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

## ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

### Corresponding Author

[dmacmill@princeton.edu](mailto:dmacmill@princeton.edu)

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support was provided by NIHGMS (R01 GM103558-03), and kind gifts from Merck and Amgen. D.H. is grateful for financial support from the German Research Foundation (DFG).

## ■ REFERENCES

- (1) (a) Bergman, R. G. *Nature* **2007**, *446*, 391. (b) Godula, K.; Sames, D. *Science* **2006**, *312*, 67. (c) Labinger, J. A.; Bercaw, J. E. *Nature* **2002**, *417*, 507.
- (2) Qvortrup, K.; Rankic, D. A.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 626.
- (3) (a) Mayer, J. M. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2004**, *55*, 363. (b) Huynh, M. H. V.; Meyer, T. J. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 5004. (c) Warren, J. J.; Tronic, T. A.; Mayer, J. M. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 6961.
- (4) (a) Tyson, E. L.; Ament, M. S.; Yoon, T. P. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 2046. (b) Nguyen, T. M.; Manohar, N.; Nicewicz, D. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 6198. (c) DeForest, C. A.; Anseth, K. S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 1816.
- (5) For some related examples on thiol mediated hydrogen atom abstraction catalysis in organic synthesis, see: Feray, L.; Kuznetsov, N.; Renaud, P.; Sibi, M. P. In *Radicals in Organic Synthesis*, Vol. 2; Renaud, P., Sibi, M. P., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2001; p 256.
- (6) Luo, Y.-R. *Handbook of Bond Dissociation Energies in Organic Compounds*; CRC Press LLC: Boca Raton, FL, 2003.
- (7) Mayer, J. M. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2011**, *44*, 36.
- (8) (a) Arrasate, S.; Lete, E.; Sotomayor, N. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2002**, *13*, 311. (b) Bergmeier, S. C. *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 2561. (c) Reddy, K. S.; Solà, L.; Moyano, A.; Pericàs, M. A.; Riera, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 3969. (d) Cho, G. Y.; Ko, S. Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 8745.
- (9) (a) Roberts, B. P. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1999**, *28*, 25. (b) Dang, H.-S.; Roberts, B. P. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1998**, *67*. (c) Dang, H.-S.; Roberts, B. P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *404*, 8929.
- (10) (a) Nicewicz, D. A.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *Science* **2008**, *322*, 77. (b) Nagib, D. A.; Scott, M. E.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 10875. (c) McNally, A.; Prier, C. K.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *Science* **2011**, *334*, 1114. (d) Nagib, D. A.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *Nature* **2011**, *480*, 224. (e) Rono, L. J.; Yayla, H. G.; Wang, D. Y.; Armstrong, M. F.; Knowles, R. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 17735.
- (11) Slinker, J. D.; Gorodetsky, A. A.; Lowry, M. S.; Wang, J.; Parker, S.; Rohl, R.; Bernhard, S.; Malliaras, G. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 2763.
- (12) Shaidarova, L. G.; Ziganshina, S.-A.; Budnikov, G. K. *J. Anal. Chem.* **2003**, *58*, 640.
- (13) Root, D. K.; Smith, W. H. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1982**, *129*, 1231.
- (14) Ochiai, M.; Yamane, S.; Hoque, M. M.; Saito, M.; Miyamoto, K. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 5280.
- (15) Escoubet, S.; Gastaldi, G.; Vanthuyne, N.; Gil, G.; Siri, D.; Bertrand, M. P. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 7288.
- (16) (a) Studer, A. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2001**, *7*, 1159. (b) Studer, A. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2004**, *33*, 267. (c) Fischer, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 3925. (d) Rüegg, D.; Fischer, H. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* **1989**, *21*, 703. (e) Kothe, T.; Marque, S.; Martschke, R.; Popov, M.; Fischer, H. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **1998**, 1553.
- (17) See Supporting Information (SI) for experimental details.
- (18) Nguyen, J. D.; Reiß, B.; Dai, C.; Stephenson, C. R. J. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 4352.
- (19) See SI for fluorescence quenching experiments.
- (20) Due to easier handling, butyl thioglycolate (**41**) was the preferred reagent in Stern–Volmer studies.
- (21) In contrast to LiOAc, *n*-Bu<sub>4</sub>NOAc is fully soluble in DMA and was therefore applied in Stern–Volmer studies.